# FAST COMPUTATION OF GENERALIZED DEDEKIND SUMS

Preston Tranbarger, Jessica Wang Texas A&M University Mathematics REU

### Introduction

The generalized Dedekind sum below is first derived in [2].

**Definition 1.** Let  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  be primitive Dirichlet characters with respective conductors  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  greater than 1 such that  $\chi_1\chi_2(-1) = 1$ , and let  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(q_1q_2)$ .

$$S_{\chi_1,\chi_2} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{i=1}^{q_1} \left( \overline{\chi_2(j)\chi_1(i)} B_1 \left( \frac{j}{c} \right) B_1 \left( \frac{n}{q_1} + \frac{aj}{c} \right) \right).$$

This generalized Dedekind sum exhibits a particularly useful crossed homomorphism property.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma_0(q_1q_2)$ . Then

$$S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\gamma_1\gamma_2) = S(\gamma_1) + \psi(\gamma_1)S(\gamma_2)$$

**Remark.** Note  $\psi(\gamma)$  is trivial if  $\gamma \in \Gamma_1(q_1q_2)$ , so  $S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}$  may be viewed as being an element of  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma_1(q_1q_2),\mathbb{C})$ .

#### General Preliminaries

In this section we will define some general group theoretic definitions and results which will aid in the construction of the algorithm. For the rest of this subsection, we let G be a finitely generated group and H be a subgroup of G. We begin by defining right transversals and some associated notation.

**Definition 2.** We say  $\mathcal{T}$  is a right transversal of H in G if each right coset of H in G contains exactly one element of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{T}$  must contain the identity.

**Definition 3.** Given a right transversal  $\mathcal{T}$  of H in G, a right coset representative function for  $\mathcal{T}$  is a mapping:  $G \to \mathcal{T}$  via  $g \mapsto \overline{g}$ , where  $\overline{g}$  is the unique element in  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $Hg = H\overline{g}$ .

We define a function which plays a critical role in our algorithm.

**Definition 4.** Given a right transversal of H in G and  $a, b \in G$ , we define

$$U(a,b) = ab(\overline{ab})^{-1}.$$

Using this we define a rewriting process.

**Theorem 1** (Modified Reidemeister Rewriting Process). Given a right transversal of H in G, let  $G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_n \rangle$ . Let  $h = g_{q_1}^{a_1} g_{q_2}^{a_2} \dots g_{q_r}^{a_r} \in H$  (where  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ ) be a word in powers of the  $g_i$ . Define the mapping  $\tau$  of the word h by

$$\tau(h) = U(p_1, g_{q_1}^{a_1})U(p_2, g_{q_2}^{a_2})\cdots U(p_r, g_{q_r}^{a_r}),$$

where

$$p_k = \overline{g_{q_1}^{a_1} g_{q_2}^{a_2} \cdots g_{q_{k-1}}^{a_{k-1}}}.$$

Then  $\tau(h) = h$ , for all  $h \in H$ .

# Specific Preliminaries

Let us now consider the subgroup  $\Gamma_1(N)$  of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Definition 5.** Let

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The following lemma is well known.

**Lemma 2** (Matrix Decomposition in  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  [1, Theorem 1.1]). We know

$$SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle S, T \rangle.$$

More specifically, one can decompose any matrix  $M \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  into the following form:

$$M = \pm T^{a_1} S T^{a_2} S \dots T^{a_{k-1}} S T^{a_k}.$$

Note that  $-I = S^2$ .

We develop a property of *U*-functions on the  $\Gamma_1(N)$  congruence subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .


**Lemma 3.** Let a = qN + r for  $0 \le r < N$  and let  $M \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Given a right transversal of  $\Gamma_1(N)$  in  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ,

$$U(\overline{M}, T^a) = U^q(\overline{M}, T^N)U(\overline{M}, T^r).$$

# **Performance Comparison**

We give some experimental data comparing the speed of our algorithm to that which uses Definition 1.

**Example 1.** Consider  $\Gamma_0(28)$ . Let  $\chi_1$  be the primitive Dirichlet character with conductor  $q_1 = 4$ , and let  $\chi_2$  be the primitive Dirichlet character with conductor  $q_2 = 7$  such that  $\chi_2(3) = \exp(2\pi i(5/6))$ . We let  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  where c = 28k, 0 < a < c, and  $\gcd(a, c) = 1$ . We choose b and d such that the exponent  $a_r$  is 0 after applying Lemma 2. We compute the Dedekind sum  $S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\gamma)$  of all matrices that satisfy the conditions, and graph the logarithm of the average time it takes to compute each k.



Note that the performance of the algorithm always exceeded that of the definition for this pair of characters.

**Example 2.** Now we present an example for a large matrix. Consider  $\Gamma_0(35)$ . Let  $\chi_1$  be the primitive Dirichlet character with conductor  $q_1 = 5$  such that  $\chi_1(2) = -i$ , and let  $\chi_2$  be the primitive Dirichlet character with conductor  $q_2 = 7$  such that  $\chi_2(3) = \exp(2\pi i(1/3))$ . Let  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 46741638 & 43234369 \\ 43234205 & 39990117 \end{pmatrix}$ . Computing  $S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\gamma)$  by Definition 1 takes  $5.531*10^4$  seconds (around 15 hours), whereas it takes  $5.128*10^{-2}$  seconds using our algorithm.

### The Algorithm

#### Precomputation

First, we find a right transversal  $\mathcal{T}_{\Gamma_0}$  of  $\Gamma_1(N)$  in  $\Gamma_0(N)$  and a right transversal  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}$  of  $\Gamma_1(N)$  in  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Using these we compute the set

$$\mathcal{U} = \{ U(t, T^i) : t \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), 1 \le i \le N \} \cup \{ U(t, S^k) : t \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), 0 \le k \le 2 \}.$$

Finally, we compute the Dedekind sums  $S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\mathcal{T}_{\Gamma_0})$  and  $S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})})$  using Definition 1.

#### Main Computation

We write  $\gamma_0 = \gamma_1 g$ , where  $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_1(N)$  and  $g \in \mathcal{T}_{\Gamma_0}$ . Let  $g \mapsto \overline{g}$  denote the right coset representative function uniquely described by  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}$  per Definition 3. By Lemma 1,

$$S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\gamma_0) = S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\gamma_1) + S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(g).$$

Since  $g \in \mathcal{T}_{\Gamma_0}$ ,  $S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(g)$  has been precomputed, so now we are only concerned about  $S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\gamma_1)$ . Using Lemma 2, we write

$$\gamma_1 = \pm T^{a_1} S T^{a_2} S \dots T^{a_{k-1}} S T^{a_k}.$$

Using Theorem 1, we can rewrite

$$\tau(\gamma_1) = U(\overline{p_1}, T^{a_1})U(\overline{p_1}T^{a_1}, S)U(\overline{p_2}, T^{a_2})U(\overline{p_2}T^{a_2}, S) \cdots U(\overline{p_k}, T^{a_k})U(\overline{p_k}T^{a_k}, \pm I) = \gamma_1, \qquad (1)$$

where

$$p_k = T^{a_1} S T^{a_2} S \dots T^{a_{k-1}} S.$$

Now we apply Lemma 3. For each exponent of T, we write  $a_i = q_i N + r_i$  with  $0 \le r_i < N$ . Then

$$U(\overline{p_i}, T^{a_i}) = U^{q_i}(\overline{p_i}, T^N)U(\overline{p_i}, T^{r_i}). \tag{2}$$

We apply the Dedekind sum to (2). By Lemma 1,

$$S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}\left(U\left(\overline{p_i},\,T^{a_i}\right)\right) = q_i S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}\left(U\left(\overline{p_i},T^N\right)\right) + S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}\left(U\left(\overline{p_i},T^{r_i}\right)\right). \tag{3}$$

Using (1) and (2) we can express  $\gamma_1$  as a product of elements in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Applying Lemma 1 to  $S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\tau(\gamma_1))$  and expanding via (3), we see that the Dedekind sum of each term is precomputed.

### An Example

Fix  $\Gamma_0(9)$ . Let  $\chi_1 = \chi_2$  be the primitive character modulo 3 with conductors  $q_1 = q_2 = 3$ . We want to compute  $S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\gamma_0)$  where

$$\gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 17 & 32 \\ 9 & 17 \end{pmatrix}$$

We compute a right transversal of  $\Gamma_1(9)$  in  $\Gamma_0(9)$  as

$$\mathcal{T}_{\Gamma_0} = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 1 \\ 9 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 3 \\ 9 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 9 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 9 & 7 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 7 \\ 9 & 8 \end{pmatrix} \}.$$

We compute a right transversal of  $\Gamma_1(9)$  in  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ 

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})} = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 1 \\ 9 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 3 \\ 9 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 9 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 9 & 7 \end{pmatrix}, \cdots, \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 8 \\ 8 & 13 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 3 \\ 8 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 13 \\ 8 & 15 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 6 \\ 8 & 7 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 8 & 17 \end{pmatrix} \}.$$

Now we compute the set

$$\mathcal{U} = \{ U(t, T^i) : t \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}, 1 \le i \le 9 \} \cup \{ U(t, S^k) : t \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}, 0 \le k \le 2 \}.$$

Using Definition 1, we compute the Dedekind sums  $S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\mathcal{T}_{\Gamma_0})$  and  $S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\mathcal{U})$ . Note  $\gamma_0 = \gamma_1 g$  where

$$\gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -152 & 137 \\ -81 & 73 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1(9)$$
 and  $g = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 7 \\ 9 & 8 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{T}_{\Gamma_0}$ .

Since  $g \in \mathcal{T}_{\Gamma_0}$ ,  $S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(g)$  has been precomputed; thus, we only need concern ourselves with computing  $S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\gamma_1)$ . By Lemma 2 we compute

$$\gamma_1 = -T^1 S T^{-2} S T^{-1} S T^{-1}.$$

Now we apply Theorem 1 with all  $p_i$  written in matrix forms.

$$\tau(\gamma_{1}) = U(\overline{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}, T^{1})U(\overline{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}, S)U(\overline{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}, T^{-2})U(\overline{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}}, S) \cdots \cdots U(\overline{\begin{pmatrix} -15 & -13 \\ -8 & -7 \end{pmatrix}}, T^{-11})U(\overline{\begin{pmatrix} -15 & 152 \\ -8 & 81 \end{pmatrix}}, S)U(\overline{\begin{pmatrix} 152 & 15 \\ 81 & 8 \end{pmatrix}}, T^{-1})U(\overline{\begin{pmatrix} 152 & -137 \\ 81 & -73 \end{pmatrix}}, -I) = \gamma_{1}.$$

Applying Lemma 3 to each term of the above product, we get the following computation.

$$U((\frac{10}{01}), T^{1}) = U^{0}((\frac{10}{01}), T^{9})U((\frac{10}{01}), T^{1}) \qquad U((\frac{11}{01}), S) = U((\frac{10}{01}), S)$$

$$U((\frac{1-1}{10}), T^{-2}) = U^{-1}((\frac{18}{19}), T^{9})U((\frac{18}{19}), T^{7}) \qquad U((\frac{1-3}{1-2}), S) = U((\frac{16}{17}), S)$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$U((\frac{-15-13}{-8-7}), T^{-11}) = U^{-2}((\frac{11}{12}), T^{9})U((\frac{11}{12}), T^{7}) \qquad U((\frac{-15}{152}, S) = U((\frac{18}{19}), S)$$

$$U((\frac{152-15}{81-8}), T^{-1}) = U^{-1}((\frac{87}{98}), T^{9})U((\frac{87}{98}), T^{8}) \qquad U((\frac{152-137}{81-73}), -I) = U((\frac{87}{98}), S^{2})$$

Note that every term on the right hand side of these equalities are in the precomputed set  $\mathcal{U}$ . Thus, using Lemma 1 we know,

$$S_{\chi_{1},\chi_{2}}(\gamma_{1}) = 0 \cdot S_{\chi_{1},\chi_{2}}(U(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, T^{9})) + S_{\chi_{1},\chi_{2}}(U(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, T^{1})) + S_{\chi_{1},\chi_{2}}(U(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, S)) -1 \cdot S_{\chi_{1},\chi_{2}}(U(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 8 \\ 1 & 9 \end{pmatrix}, T^{9})) + S_{\chi_{1},\chi_{2}}(U(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 8 \\ 1 & 9 \end{pmatrix}, T^{7})) + S_{\chi_{1},\chi_{2}}(U(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 6 \\ 1 & 7 \end{pmatrix}, S)) \vdots -2 \cdot S_{\chi_{1},\chi_{2}}(U(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, T^{9})) + S_{\chi_{1},\chi_{2}}(U(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, T^{7})) + S_{\chi_{1},\chi_{2}}(U(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 8 \\ 1 & 9 \end{pmatrix}, S)) -1 \cdot S_{\chi_{1},\chi_{2}}(U(\begin{pmatrix} 8 & 7 \\ 9 & 8 \end{pmatrix}, T^{9})) + S_{\chi_{1},\chi_{2}}(U(\begin{pmatrix} 8 & 7 \\ 9 & 8 \end{pmatrix}, T^{8})) + S_{\chi_{1},\chi_{2}}(U(\begin{pmatrix} 8 & 7 \\ 9 & 8 \end{pmatrix}, S^{2})).$$

Now, using the precomputed Dedekind sums,  $S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\gamma_0) = S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\gamma_1) + S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(g) = 0$ .

# Code & Full Text

The final condensed code necessary to use and implement this algorithm can be found and cloned from this Github repository: https://github.com/prestontranbarger/NFDSFastComputation

Additionally, The full paper which summarizes and expounds upon the contents of this poster can be found on the arXiv here: https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.01172

### Acknowledgements

This research was conducted at the Texas A&M University 2022 Mathematics REU and supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation (DMS-2150094). The authors would like to thank Agniva Dasgupta for his help and feedback throughout. The authors would also like to thank Mitch Majure for his contributions to the project.

# References

- [1] H. Iwaniec. Topics in Classical Automorphic Forms. Graduate studies in mathematics. American Mathematical Soc., 1997. ISBN: 9780821872079. URL: https://books.google.de/books?id=Crds%5C\_5HZ12QC.
- [2] T. Stucker, A. Vennos, and M. P. Young. "Dedekind sums arising from newform Eisenstein series". In: *International Journal of Number Theory* 16.10 (Aug. 2020), pp. 2129–2139. DOI: 10.1142/s1793042120501092. URL: https: